30 Years of North Central WaterWatch

WATERWATC

D'DELL D'DELL

QUENSLAND

A River Health Report and Citizen Science Success Story

Foreword

I am proud to present 30 Years of North Central WaterWatch – A River Health Report and Citizen Science Success Story. This report details the remarkable journey undertaken by the North Central Catchment Management Authority's (CMA) WaterWatch program to capture water quality data over the past three decades.

We have embraced the power of citizen science, inviting volunteers from within our communities to join hands with us in gathering scientific data. This collaborative approach, which combines professional expertise with the enthusiastic participation of local community members, means we have captured a compelling story of waterway health over time. By integrating citizen science into our projects, we have witnessed the remarkable capacity of our communities to engage, connect, and nurture their environment. This report stands as a testament to the dedication, innovation, and collective effort of our team, partners, and most of all, the passionate and dedicated citizen scientists who are pivotal in testing waterway samples near their local patch. The data collected goes a long way in helping us shape activities that contribute to the enhancement and protection of our precious waterways in the North Central CMA region.

Our commitment to sustainable catchment management is unwavering, and the pages that follow unveil past and present endeavours to track water quality and foster overall catchment health.

I am thankful for the steadfast dedication of our team, the unwavering support of our partners, and the invaluable contribution of our volunteers and citizen scientists. The report is both a testament to the progress we have achieved and an inspiration for future citizen science led initiatives.

Brad Drust Chief Executive Officer - North Central CMA

woman Laura Kirby, Photo:

Acknowledgement of Country

The North Central Catchment Management Authority (CMA) acknowledges Aboriginal Traditional Owners within the region, their rich culture and spiritual connection to Country. We also recognize and acknowledge the contribution and interest of Aboriginal people and organisations in land and natural resource management, and pay respects to Elders past, present and emerging.

30 Years of North Central WaterWatch - A River Health Report and Citizen Science Success Sto

Introduction

As one of Australia's longest running citizen science programs, North Central WaterWatch are proud of the outstanding success of the program, of which would not have been possible without the tremendous efforts of participating volunteers. Their unwavering dedication is testament to the care and passion of people in our region for our waterways and environment. Without their assistance, we would not be able to keep track of the health of our precious waterways across our large region.

The North Central CMA region covers 13% of Victoria and comprises four river catchments: the Avoca, Avon-Richardson, Loddon, and Campaspe. More broadly, the catchment forms part of the Murray-Darling Basin. The renewed North Central Regional Catchment Strategy 2021-27 identifies priorities for regional investment to protect and enhance waterways, wetlands and biodiversity assets including improving or maintaining water quality.

In recent years, the North Central CMA has been integrating citizen science into catchment management projects. Citizen science programs involve volunteers collecting scientific data to understand change over time that also supports monitoring programs and guides current and future efforts. This approach is proven to engage, connect and empower community within their local environment and to improve their appreciation of nature.

Whether active work is being undertaken in an area or not, WaterWatch volunteers provide significant data that complements our understanding of waterway health, changes over time and identifying waterway health concerns.

Interpreting results

The results in this report are a summary of water quality data collected by North Central WaterWatch citizen scientists over the past 30 years, from 1993 to 2023. The North Central CMA catchment is broken down into sub-catchments, Campaspe; Loddon; Avoca and Avon-Richardson, which is then broken down into program areas. The results for each program area are separated by decades to interpret changes over time, and finally interpreted using the Water Quality Indicator Levels. These are found by aggregating the data for a particular decade and finding the 75th percentiles for each indicator. For pH, the 25th percentile is also used, as both the lower and higher ends of the pH scale are used to determine the acidity or alkalinity respectively. Each indicator has four ranges, with 'good' being the most ideal for ecological health, and 'very poor' being the least ideal.

The North Central CMA catchment covers two surface water geographic regions: the Murray and Western Plains to the north and the Central Foothills and Coastal Plains to the south along the Great Dividing Range. These are used to determine the baseline ranges that would constitute an ecologically sound waterway. Some program areas span both regions, so data has been interpreted for both.

sina v

/aterbuc

4 30 Years of North Central WaterWatch - A River Health Report and Citizen Science Success Story

Water quality colour code

Sites have been colour coded and interpreted as follows:

Good

Water quality is acceptable and has minimal impacts on aquatic ecosystem health.

Moderate

Water quality and aquatic ecosystem health are moderately impacted.

Poor

Water quality and aquatic ecosystem health are largely impacted.

Very Poor

Water Quality and aquatic ecosystems are severely impacted.

Indicator definitions:

Electrical conductivity (EC) -

measures salinity using an EC meter. The higher the number (measured in μ S/cm), the more dissolved salts present within the waterway. A limited amount of salinity is important for the metabolic processes of aquatic organisms, but high concentrations may be toxic.

pH – measures acidity or alkalinity, and is measured with either a pH meter, or pH strips. The pH scale ranges from 0, indicating high acidity, to 14, indicating high alkalinity, with 7 being neutral. High or low pH can have significant ecological consequences, such as limiting the prevalence of pollution-sensitive species. Changes in pH is usually caused by geological factors, such as acidic or basic minerals entering waterways through scaling and erosion. It can also be an indication of a pollution event.

Turbidity – measures the clarity of a waterway and is determined using a turbidity tube. Turbid water can be due to a variety of organic and inorganic sources, such as turned-up sediment or coloured algal blooms. Generally, high turbidity in a body of water negatively affects availability of sunlight to aquatic vegetation and can clog gills of fish and invertebrates.

Reactive phosphorus – measures the amount of phosphorus, which is an indication of the nutrient load of the waterway of the nutrients or organic load. Phosphorous is a naturally occurring nutrient and is essential for all life. However high levels of phosphorous can lead to excessive algae growth and reduced oxygen levels.



Representative sites

It can be challenging to ascertain the overall health of each catchment area by aggregating records for each site. This can be due to a multitude of reasons, such as varying influences on water quality and geographical location; some sites are within a forest catchment while others area naturally occurring saline lakes. As a result, this report has analysed and visualised singular sites with a high consistency of recordings over the decades, which are representative of the fluctuations in water quality in a region overall.

In addition, for each catchment, a representative site has been selected to describe a specific

parameter in more detail and have been visualised with a line graph and without the use of the

Water Quality Indicator Levels. This is a simple demonstration of the detail that can be reflected upon with such a long range of data.

Campaspe Representative Site - Electrical Conductivity

At this site on the Campapse River, electrical conductivity has decreased. Prior to 2011, electrical conductivity was generally high with low flows likely seeing a condensing of salts in pools. Following the major flood event of 2010/11, a sharp decline was recorded, perhaps indicating a dilution of salts and flush of pools during the event.



Loddon Representative Site - Turbidity

This site shows a typical representation of the peaks and troughs of turbidity, usually driven by seasonal rainfall. Typically, turbidity will increase during and after a rain event and then gradually decrease again and suspended solids fall out of the water column.



NC_LOD525 - Loddon River, Salisbury West

Avon-Richardson Representative Site - pH

The Richardson River typically has a slightly elevated (alkaline) pH level, most likely influenced by rock types and groundwater intrusions. Across the 20-year recording period, pH has been recorded up to 10 and as low as 6.5 at times, but averages at around 8.5.



Avoca Representative Site - Reactive Phosphorous

The Avoca River has relatively low reactive phosphorous, with occasional peaks above the moderate- good levels (anything over 0.05 is classes as poor, and over 0.10 is very poor. The Avoca River is not considered a highly nutrient-rich waterway. The peaks may be attributed to decaying organic matter, or super phosphate runoff following heavy rain.







Water quality indicator levels

Central Foothills, Campaspe, Loddon, and Avoca sub-segment water quality indicator levels.

| Indicator | Units | Percentile | Good | Moderate | Poor | Very Poor |
|--|-------|------------|--------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| pH upper | pН | 75th | ≤8.0 | >8.0 ≤8.5 | >8.5 ≤9.0 | >9.0 |
| pH lower | pН | 25th | ≥7.0 | <7.0 ≥6.0 | <6.0 ≥5.0 | <5.0 |
| Electrical Conductivity (EC) | µS/cm | 75th | ≤1,500 | >1,500 ≤2,000 | >2,000 ≤3,000 | >3,000 |
| Reactive Phosphorus | mg/L | 75th | <0.025 | >0.025 ≤0.055 | >0.055 ≤0.110 | >0.110 |
| Turbidity | NTU | 75th | ≤20 | >20 ≤30 | >30 ≤40 | >40 |

Murray plains, Campaspe, Loddon, and Avoca sub-segment water quality indicator levels.

| Indicator | Units | Percentile | Good | Moderate | Poor | Very Poor |
|--|-------|------------|--------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| pH upper | pН | 75th | ≤8.2 | >8.2 ≤8.5 | >8.5 ≤9.0 | >9.0 |
| pH lower | pН | 25th | ≥7.0 | <7.0 ≥6.0 | <6.0≥5.0 | <5.0 |
| Electrical Conductivity (EC) | µS/cm | 75th | ≤1,500 | >1,500 ≤2,000 | >2,000 ≤4,000 | >4,000 |
| Reactive Phosphorus | mg/L | 75th | ≤0.045 | >0.045 ≤0.050 | >0.05 ≤0.100 | >0.100 |
| Turbidity | NTU | 75th | ≤20 | >20 ≤50 | >50 ≤100 | >100 |

Reference: Metzeling, L & Tiller, D. Proposed WaterWatch Indicator Levels for NCCMA Regions (Feb 2022).

North Central CMA Region

North Central CMA Region - WaterWatch Sites 2022-2023



What can be done?



Over decades surface water quality across the North Central CMA region has peaked and troughed which can be expected due to natural variations.

There are many and varied ways the community can get involved to protect our waterways and improve water quality; from collecting litter through to advocating for policy change, there is something for everyone to contribute.

Land clearing, stock access and erosion can contribute to sparce riparian vegetation which can also be the easiest remediation exercise with the most effective results. Improved land management practices such as fencing, revegetation and installing off-stream stock watering can vastly improve water quality and habitat availability. This practice also contributes to cooler water temperatures by shading and will also help to filter out excessive nutrient inputs. Improved management of stormwater, sewage and industrial waste are vital strategies to control nutrients and elevated phosphorus levels, while planting deep-rooted native vegetation in high recharge areas (where rainfall is entering groundwater) can help lower the water table and decrease salinity.

Getting involved in local volunteering is also a wonderful way to contribute and a great place to start. By learning, better understanding and connecting with waterways, citizen scientists can make a huge impact, especially when advocating and sharing more broadly with their local communities, helping to raise awareness of the importance of waterway health.



Campaspe Catchment



Lower Campaspe Program Area

The lower Campaspe catchment has improved since monitoring began and is currently in good condition.

Water quality of the Lower Campaspe program area has gradually improved through the decades with improvements recorded across most indicators. The exceptions are reactive phos and turbidity which do not yet meet the requirements of a healthy ecosystem. Moderate turbidity and phos levels may recorded in the last decade may be attributed to seasonal rainfall, which disturbs sediment and introduces organic pollutants from stormwater runoff. Higher phosphorus levels may also be due to land use practices, although accounting for natural variations, it appears this value is somewhat trending downward.

| 994-2003 PH (lower) PH (upper) PH (upper) PO4 (upper) Turbidity (NTU) Vater Quality Indicators 6.9 8.3 1,600 0.15 43 Publity Level (Murray) Moderate Moderate Moderate Very Poor Moderate 1,008 visits to 46 sites Moderate Moderate Moderate Very Poor Moderate 1,008 visits to 46 sites PH (lower) PH (upper) EC (uS/cm) PO4 (Mg/L) Turbidity (NTU) Vater Quality Indicators 7 7.5 1,605 0.03 40 Vater Quality Indicators 7 7.5 1,605 0.03 40 Vater Quality Indicators 7 7.5 1,605 0.03 40 Vater Quality Indicators 7 7.4 7.36 0.05 30 Vater Quality Indicators 7 < | | | | | | | Active |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| Water Quality Indicators 6.9 8.3 1,600 0.15 43 Audility Level (Murray) Moderate Moderate Moderate Very Poor Moderate 1,008 visits to 46 sites Image: Comparison of the comparison of | 994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | unteers |
| Duality Level (Murray) Moderate Moderate Moderate Very Poor Moderate 1,008 visits to 46 sites Image: Comparison of Comparison o | Vater Quality Indicators | 6.9 | 8.3 | 1,600 | 0.15 | 43 | |
| 1,008 visits to 46 sites | Quality Level (Murray) | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | Very Poor | Moderate | |
| 2004-2013PH (lower)PH (upper)EC (µS/cm)PO4 (Mg/L)Turbidity (NTU)vater Ouality Indicators77.51,6050.0340vater Ouality LevelGoodModerateModerateGoodModerate950 visits to 30 sitesOut-2023PH (ower)PH (upper)EC (µS/cm)PO4 (Mg/L)Turbidity (NTU)vater Ouality Indicators77.47360.0530ouality LevelGoodGoodGoodModerateModerateOotsits to 15 site | 1,008 visits to 46 sites | | | | | | |
| Water Quality Indicators 7 7.5 1,605 0.03 40 Quality Level Good Moderate Moderate Good Moderate 950 visits to 30 sites Image: Construction of the state of th | 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Duality Level Good Moderate Moderate Good Moderate 950 visits to 30 sites 950 visits to 30 sites PPH PPH PO4 Turbidity c014-2023 PPH PH PC PO4 Turbidity vater Quality Indicators 7 7.4 736 0.05 30 Quality Level Good Good Good Moderate Moderate 560 visits to 15 sites Turbidity Vater 15 sites | Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.5 | 1,605 | 0.03 | 40 | |
| 950 visits to 30 sites | Quality Level | Good | Moderate | Moderate | Good | Moderate | |
| C014-2023PH (lower)PH (upper)EC (µS/cm)PO4 (Mg/L)Turbidity (NTU)Vater Quality Indicators77.47360.0530Quality LevelGoodGoodGoodModerateModerateSolution visits to 15 sites | 950 visits to 30 sites | | | | | | |
| Vater Quality Indicators 7 7.4 736 0.05 30 Quality Level Good Good Good Moderate Moderate 360 visits to 15 sites | 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Quality Level Good Good Good Good Moderate Moderate | Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.4 | 736 | 0.05 | 30 | |
| 360 visits to 15 sites | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | 360 visits to 15 sites | Good | Good | Good | Moderate | Moderate | |

Upper Campaspe Program Area

The upper Campaspe catchment is in moderate to good condition.

The monitored sites of the upper Campaspe catchment are located within the central foothills region. pH has been steadily improving since 1994 and has become more neutral as time goes on. Electrical conductivity, reactive phosphorus and turbidity have all improved since monitoring began. While reactive phosphorous decreased from 1994-2003, it has not improved since. High phosphorus levels may be due to nutrient enrichment from fertiliser runoff, faecal matter from livestock having access to riparian zones or lack of vegetated buffers in adjacent agricultural areas. Similarly, runoff from townships can contribute to high phosphorus concentrations, such as through organic pollutants or household and industrial pollutants from adjoining towns and tributaries.

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 6.1 | 6.3 | 1,975 | 0.25 | 17 |
| Quality Level (Central Foothills) | Moderate | Good | Moderate | Very Poor | Good |
| 196 visits to 26 sites | | | | | |
| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
| | | | | 0.07 | 00 |
| Water Quality Indicators | 6.5 | 7.2 | 996 | 0.07 | 20 |
| Water Quality Indicators Quality Level | 6.5 Moderate | 7.2 Good | 996 Good | 0.07 Poor | 20 Good |
| Water Quality Indicators Quality Level 482 visits to 16 sites | 6.5 Moderate | 7.2 Good | gg6 Good | 0.07 Poor | Good |
| Water Quality Indicators Quality Level 482 visits to 16 sites 2014-2023 | 6.5 Moderate pH (lower) | 7.2 Good pH (upper) | Good EC (μS/cm) | Poor Poor PO4 (Mg/L) | Good Turbidity (NTU) |
| Water Quality Indicators Quality Level 482 visits to 16 sites 2014-2023 Water Quality Indicators | 6.5 Moderate pH (lower) 6.9 | 7.2 Good pH (upper) 7.3 | 996 Good EC (μS/cm) 482 | 0.07 Poor PO4 (Mg/L) 0.07 | Good Turbidity (NTU) 16 |

15

Coliban Program Area

The Coliban catchment is in very good condition.

Monitoring of the Coliban catchment in the new millennium indicated good to moderate water quality and this has continued to improve over time. The only exception is has been phosphorus, which was moderate for the period of 2004-2013. This has since improved likely due to better management, but may also in part because of natural variation. The catchment is currently being actively improved through *A Healthy Coliban Catchment*, a partnership project between North Central CMA, Coliban Water and DJAARA. Consistent monitoring and ongoing good land management will ensure this area maintains optimal water quality.

| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.6 | 801 | 0.03 | 15 |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Good | Moderate | Good |
| 350 visits to 9 sites | | | | | |
| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.5 | 329 | 0.02 | 12 |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Loddon Catchment



The Native Fish Recovery Plan aims to restore native fish populations and waterway health in the central Murray system in Northern Victoria. Since 2016, the Project's citizen science program, RiverScan, has been tracking change and helping to inform the project about waterway health across the lower Loddon, Gunbower Creek, Box-Pyramid Creek and the Little Murray River.

Legend

- WaterWatch Active Sites
- Locations
- Priority Waterways
- Waterways
- ERS Segments

Upper Loddon Program Area

The Upper Loddon catchment is in good-to-moderate condition.

The Upper Loddon area initially had a poor to moderate water quality, with the exception of turbidity being good. This has improved over the years, although in the most recent decade, phosphorus has risen significantly. EC and pH are classed as good but are close to the moderate level.

Parts of Forest Creek and Campbells Creek have notably higher phosphorus concentrations compared to other sites within this program area.

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 8.1 | 2,090 | 0.05 | 10 |
| Quality Level (Cleared) | Good | Moderate | Poor | Moderate | Good |
| 509 visits to 14 sites | | | | | |
| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.8 | 1,693 | 0.03 | 10 |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Moderate | Moderate | Good |
| 774 visits to 23 sites | | | | | |
| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.2 | 7.8 | 1,472 | 0.07 | 13 |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Good | Poor | Good |
| | | | | | |
| | • | | eth Cental ross | | |



6

Western Loddon/Loddon Western Tributaries Program Area

The Western Loddon/Loddon Western catchment tributaries are in great condition.

Although the western Loddon program lower rates of monitoring in 1994-2003 and again in 2014-2023, trends are consistent between each decade. While there have been fluctuations, such as a moderate acidity and moderate to poor turbidity in previous decades, the program area currently meets each ecologically healthy level in each indicator.

This has had consistently high-water quality and regular monitoring between 2004-2013.

Since 2021, the Tullaroop Catchment Restoration Project has been working together with the community to improve riparian habitat. Citizen Science data has helped to inform the project about waterway condition and will help to track change over time.

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Active volunte |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.7 | 1,400 | 0.02 | 32 | |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Good | Good | Good | Good | Moderate | |
| 128 visits to 3 sites | | | | | | _ |

| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 6 | 7.5 | 1,038 | 0.03 | 60 |
| Quality Level | Moderate | Good | Good | Good | Poor |
| | | | | | |

(704 visits to 13 sites)

254 visits to 9 sites

| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 8 | 378 | 0.02 | 10 |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good |





Loddon East/Lower Loddon Program Area

The Loddon East/Lower Loddon catchment is in mostly good condition.

The lower Loddon is one of the most recorded rivers in this area. Since the first recording period, each indicator has fluctuated but in most recent years has displayed generally good results, aside from high turbidity

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | volur |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 6.5 | 7.5 | 1,700 | 0.06 | 70 | |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Moderate | Good | Moderate | Poor | Poor | |
| 635 visits to 15 sites | | | | | | |
| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.2 | 8 | 2,513 | 0.02 | 25 | |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Poor | Good | Moderate | |
| 975 visits to 21 sites | | | | | | |
| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.2 | 7.9 | 778 | 0.03 | 72 | |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Good | Good | Poor | |
| (1,051 visits to 19 sites) | | | | | | |



Mid Loddon/Loddon Eastern Tributaries Program Area

The Mid Loddon/Loddon Eastern catchment tributaries are in moderate condition.

Although the mid-Loddon sub-region has historically had very poor to poor quality, it is steadily improving.

Historically, the catchment had poor to moderate water quality. This is likely due to the high concentration of Bendigo Creek monitoring locations, which majorly influence the trends of water quality in this sub-region. It has improved in recent years, likely due to improved catchment management practices.

This sub-region has consistently struggled with high turbidity due to urbanisation and stormwater runoff. Historic gold mining within the catchment has also likely contributed, with the goldfields of the era well known to have deposited masses of sediment which continues to be disturbed.

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | volunte |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.1 | 8.2 | 2,300 | 0.33 | 70 | |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Good | Good | Poor | Very Poor | Poor | |
| Quality Level (Cleared) | Good | Moderate | Poor | Very Poor | Very Poor | |

1,025 visits to 47 sites

1,156 visits to 39 sites

| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 6.5 | 7.7 | 1,436 | 0.11 | 30 |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Moderate | Good | Good | Very Poor | Moderate |
| Quality Level (Cleared) | Moderate | Good | Good | Poor | Moderate |
| | | | | | |

pH (lower) EC PO4 Turbidity рΗ 2014-2023 . (upper) (µS/cm) (Mg/L) (NTU) Water Quality Indicators 7.1 7.7 2.528 0.08 30 Poor Quality Level (Murray) Good Good Poor Moderate Quality Level (Cleared) Good Moderate Good Poor Moderate

1,065 visits to 33 sites



Gunbower Program Area

The Gunbower catchment area is in moderate condition.

Within the Gunbower monitoring has decreased since the first reporting period. Recently, robust monitoring program was established in Black Swamp, Gunbower Creek and Reedy Lagoon, so trends are being recorded and future reports will this.

Phosphorous and turbidity have slightly improved in recent years, while pH and EC have generally remained steady.

| | | | | | | Active |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | volunteers |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 8 | 200 | 0.16 | 43 | |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Good | Good | Good | Very Poor | Very Poor | |
| 143 visits to 9 sites | | | | | | |
| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Water Quality Indicators | 6.5 | 7.3 | 82 | 0.02 | 30 | |
| Quality Level | Moderate | Good | Good | Good | Moderate | |
| 156 visits to 4 sites | | | | | | |
| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.6 | 182 | 0.05 | 41 | |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Good | Poor | Moderate | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Gunbower F | Forest, Reedy | Legeon | |

Avoca Catchment



Legend

WaterWatch Active Sites
 Locations
 Priority Waterways
 Waterways
 ERS Segments

Upper Avoca Program Area

This program area variable condition across the reporting period, with a disproportionate level in the 2004-2013 reporting period as opposed to the initial anc most recent reporting periods. This means the statistical viability of the first and last decades are far lower than the 2004-2013 one.

In the reporting period 2014-2023, the most consistently recorded site (AVO385, John & Jan Dods) influences this waterway the most – particularly notable is the EC value, which reflects a site that may be close to a groundwater discharge point, given the extreme salinity.

The 2004-2013 decade most accurately represents the health of the program area – although a dated one. Generally, it is in poor ecological health. The exception is phosphorus, which lies in the 'good' category, but otherwise is very poor or moderate. EC has consistently been very poor in the Upper Avoca.

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 8 | 8,070 | 0.01 | 16 |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Good | Good | Very Poor | Good | Good |
| 76 visits to 7 sites | | | | | |
| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
| Water Quality Indicators | 6.5 | 7.5 | 5,660 | 0.02 | 80 |
| Quality Level | Moderate | Good | Very Poor | Good | Very Poor |
| 1,350 visits to 46 sites | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
| 2014-2023 Water Quality Indicators | pH (lower) 7.3 | pH (upper) 7.7 | EC (µS/cm) 17023 | PO4 (Mg/L) 0.08 | Turbidity (NTU) 16 |

Lower Avoca Program Area

The lower Avoca is not currently monitored and has not been regularly monitored since 2013. Historical monitoring indicated a moderately healthy condition, with a declining EC however we are unable to establish or comment on current condition.

| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | volunteers |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.2 | 8 | 1,604 | 0.01 | 41 | |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Good | Good | Moderate | Good | Moderate | |
| 52 visits to 2 sites | | | | | | |

| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7 | 7.9 | 4,624 | 0.02 | 40 |
| Quality Level | Good | Good | Very Poor | Good | Moderate |
| | | | | | |

250 visits to 11 sites

Britt Gregory 2006

Avon-Richardson Catchment



Avon-Richardson Program Area

The Avon-Richardson catchment area is in poor condition.

A volunteer has moved away from the district, so monitoring is now less regular than in the previous decade. Despite this, trends generally remain the same. Notably, EC steadily declined over the decades and remains alarmingly high with the Richardson River being the main contributor to the high salinity. High turbidity and pH were noted at all sites across each reporting period.

| | | | | | | volunteers |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1994-2003 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | |
| Water Quality Indicators | 8 | 8.8 | 10,300 | 0.06 | 48 | |
| Quality Level (Murray) | Good | Poor | Very Poor | Poor | Moderate | |
| 915 visits to 24 sites | | | | | | |

| 2004-2013 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.8 | 8.8 | 19,330 | 0 | 51 |
| Quality Level | Good | Poor | Very Poor | Good | Poor |
| 2 794 visits to 40 sitos | | | | | |

| 2014-2023 | pH (lower) | pH (upper) | EC (µS/cm) | PO4 (Mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Water Quality Indicators | 7.8 | 8.7 | 26,600 | 0.06 | 60 |
| Quality Level | Good | Poor | Very Poor | Poor | Poor |

Joint Working Group discu

817 visits to 26 sites

Acknowledgements

North Central Catchment Management Authority would like to acknowledge each of our WaterWatch volunteers past and present, for their tireless efforts in monitoring the health of the North Central CMA region's waterways this past three decades.

Cass Davis mor

Want to get involved?

If you're interested in becoming a WaterWatch volunteer, contact your local WaterWatch Coordinator at the North Central CMA

Email: citizenscience@nccma.vic.gov.au

Ph.: (03) 5448 7124

Office: 628-634 Midland Hwy, Huntly Victoria 3551 Post: PO Box 18, Huntly VIC 3551

The Victorian Government is supporting community partnerships through WaterWatch and other citizen science initiatives to address local waterway priorities. These priorities are being addressed as part of the Victorian Government's Water for Victoria initiative to improve catchment and waterway health across regional Victoria.

tel and Jan



WaterWatch Victoria



Energy, Environment and Climate Action

